ROUND		NEGOTIATIONS BALLOT		ROOM				
TEAM A		BOARD of		TEAM B				
Names:		BAI TEXAS TE	RRISTERS CH UNIVERSITY SCHOOL OF LAW	Names:				
Use the following questions and point values to calculate each team's total score. In the event of a tie, see the Vice Chair of Negotiations, Vice Chair of Judges or the Chairperson. When complete, circle the name of the top oralist.								
/5	Pre-Analysis: Did the team speak cohe knowledgeable of the facts?/1 Did the team the issues?/1 Did the team anticipate the the team have an apparent strategy?/1	esively?/1 Was the team m identify and understand all of	Pre-Analysis: Did the team sp knowledgeable of the facts?/1 D	id the team identify and understand all of ipate the other side's position?/1 Did	/5			
/10	Teamwork: Did the team evenly share responsibility?/2 Was the team equally prepared?/2 Did the team refrain from contradicting each other?/2 Did the team appear to be in agreement during the round?/2 Did the team communicate effectively during the round?/2		Teamwork: Did the team evenly share responsibility?/2 Was the team equally prepared?/2 Did the team refrain from contradicting each other?/2 Did the team appear to be in agreement during the round?/2 Did the team communicate effectively during the round?/2		/10			
/10	Advocacy: Did the team understand their client's interests?/2 Did the team advance their client's interests?/2 Did the team explain their client's position?/ Did the team's statements respect the client's wishes?/2 Did the team refrain from giving up their client's interests unnecessarily?/2		Advocacy: Did the team understand their client's interests?/2 Did the team advance their client's interests?/2 Did the team explain their client's position?/ Did the team's statements respect the client's wishes?/2 Did the team refrain from giving up their client's interests unnecessarily?/2					
/10	Professionalism: Did the team ask effective questions and give effective answers?/2 Did the team attempt to move the negotiation forward?/2 Did the team adapt throughout the round?/2 Did the team concede when necessary?/2 Did the team foster an appropriate professional relationship with the other team based on the negotiation scenario?/2		Professionalism: Did the team ask effective questions and give effective answers?/2 Did the team attempt to move the negotiation forward?/2 Did the team adapt throughout the round?/2 Did the team concede when necessary?/2 Did the team foster an appropriate professional relationship with the other team based on the negotiation scenario?/2					
/10	The Result: Did the team push their client to a deal or closer to a deal?/2 Did the team communicate the results of the round with the other team?/1 Did the team achieve a greater outcome for their client than the other team? (2 for yes, 1 for tie, 0 for no)/2 Rate the outcome of the round for this team's client/5		The Result: Did the team push their client to a deal or closer to a deal?/2 Did the team communicate the results of the round with the other team?/1 Did the team achieve a greater outcome for their client than the other team? (2 for yes, 1 for tie, 0 for no)/2 Rate the outcome of the round for this team's client/5		/10			
/5	Post-Analysis: Did the team accurately sum team effectively analyze their performance? judge's questions?/2 Did the team learn from the performance of the perfo	/1 Did the team answer the		ately summarize the round?/1 Did the formance?/1 Did the team answer the n learn from the round?/1	/5			
/5	Ethics: Do you believe that the team observed the ethical standards of the legal profession? Considits authority, or invented self-serving material facts. (0 = unethical, 5 = ethical) *If an ethical violation was so severe that, in your judgment, you think the team should be disque of Judges.			-	/5			
/55	Judge's Name	Judge's	Signature		/55			
Point Spread:		Winning Team:						